

Message

From: John Lagerling [REDACTED]@google.com]
Sent: 8/23/2011 8:17:41 PM
To: Eric Chu [REDACTED]@google.com]
CC: Patrick Brady [REDACTED]@google.com]
Subject: Re: FW: Re: Meeting notes from meeting with Eric Chu

I agree with you Eric. I will push towards that direction.

On Aug 23, 2011 12:58 PM, "Eric Chu" <[REDACTED]@google.com> wrote:

>

> Yes. For example, they will have a time-limited exclusive on some new

> Adobe apps... I agree with the logic of no revenue share for devices

> with multiple app stores.

>

> Only reason I'm even pushing for this is if we can leverage this trade

> to turn Samsung App Store into Samsung Merchandising app for the

> Americas, I would think that's a bigger strategic win...

>

> Eric

>

> On 8/23/11 11:59 AM, John Lagerling wrote:

>> We shouldn't pay for promotional placement (AM rev-share) when there

>> are other app-stores side-by-side.

>> Chris cut a deal with Moviles where they are not going to litter the

>> device with several app-stores, in turn for a good rev-share. I think

>> this is fair. And Samsung are unhappy about it. Eric: Has Samsung done

>> any exclusive app-deals with developers?

>>

>> On Tue, Aug 23, 2011 at 10:02 AM, Patrick Brady <[REDACTED]@google.com

>> <mailto:[REDACTED]@google.com>> wrote:

>>

>> Yeah, I guess it's just not clear to me if their store has any

>> legs to stand on as it is.

>>

>>

>> On Tue, Aug 23, 2011 at 10:00 AM, Eric Chu <[REDACTED]@google.com

>> <mailto:[REDACTED]@google.com>> wrote:

>>

>>

>> That was my first reaction also. Reason why I thought we

>> should entertain this is that if we can get Samsung to

>> completely move to a model where Samsung App store is just

>> merchandising and they deep link to Android Market, that's a

>> big win for us. If we can get them to start with moving to

>> this model in the US, that would be a great step forward.

>>

>> That's the trade that I'm referring to...

>>

>> Eric

>>

>>

EXHIBIT 312

>>

>> On 8/23/11 9:42 AM, Patrick Brady wrote:

>>> Why would we endorse their app store if their customer
>>> doesn't want it? On what basis would we endorse? (That we
>>> think it offers a good UX?)

>>>

>>> I don't think that trade makes sense unless we really need it.

>>>

>>> On Tue, Aug 23, 2011 at 9:33 AM, Eric Chu <[REDACTED]@google.com

>>> <mailto:[REDACTED]@google.com>> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>> Hi guys,

>>>

>>> Have been having discussions with Samsung to get them to
>>> stop distributing apps through Samsung App store. They
>>> seemed to be willing to go with a hybrid model where they
>>> deep link to Android Market for actual distribution.

>>>

>>> It turns out that America Movil is pushing back on
>>> Samsung App store. Samsung is asking for Google's
>>> endorsement.... What do you guys think? Should we ask for
>>> a trade where they move all US deployments to this new
>>> model? Our party line is that it is easy to explain this
>>> as something we're doing together in general but not as
>>> an exception for 1 carrier...

>>>

>>> Eric

>>>

>>>

>>> ----- Original Message -----

>>> Subject: Re: Re: FW: Re: Meeting notes from meeting with

>>> Eric Chu

>>> Date: Tue, 23 Aug 2011 10:24:21 +0000 (GMT)

>>> From: 신보연 <Boyeon Shin@samsung.com>

>>> <mailto:Boyeon Shin@samsung.com>

>>> Reply-To: Boyeon Shin@samsung.com

>>> <mailto:Boyeon Shin@samsung.com>

>>> To: Eric Chu <Eric Chu@google.com>

>>> <mailto:Eric Chu@google.com>

>>> CC: 권강현 <Key Won@samsung.com>

>>> <mailto:Key Won@samsung.com>, Martin Tannerfors

>>> <mailto:Martin Tannerfors@sta.samsung.com>

>>> <mailto:Martin Tannerfors@sta.samsung.com>, Eui Suk Chung

>>> <mailto:Eui Suk Chung@sta.samsung.com>, <mailto:Eui Suk Chung@sta.samsung.com>,

>>> Seok Ha Koh <Seok Ha Koh@sta.samsung.com>

>>> <mailto:Seok Ha Koh@sta.samsung.com>, Quam Erogbogbo

>>> <mailto:Quam Erogbogbo@sta.samsung.com>

>>> <mailto:Quam Erogbogbo@sta.samsung.com>, James Botello

>>> <mailto:James Botello@sta.samsung.com>

>>> <mailto:James Botello@sta.samsung.com>, G. Kim@sta.samsung.com"

>>> <mailto:[REDACTED]@sta.samsung.com> [REDACTED] G. Kim@sta.samsung.com>
>>> <mailto:[REDACTED]@sta.samsung.com>, 빈운재
>>> [REDACTED] WW Bin@samsung.com> <mailto:[REDACTED]@samsung.com>, 강병진
>>> [REDACTED] BJ K@samsung.com> <mailto:[REDACTED]@samsung.com>, 남환우
>>> [REDACTED] Hwan Woo@samsung.com>
>>> <mailto:[REDACTED]@samsung.com>, 김호경
>>> [REDACTED] HK Kim@samsung.com> <mailto:[REDACTED]@samsung.com>,
>>> 김도경 <Kim DK@samsung.com> <mailto:[REDACTED]@samsung.com>, 김
>>> 현중 <Gustavo Kim@samsung.com>
>>> <mailto:[REDACTED]@samsung.com>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Hi Eric,
>>>
>>> At the moment, we do not have a short term plan to launch
>>> paid application services in the US.
>>>
>>> So, your concern on the confusion regarding two different
>>> payment systems do not arise.
>>>
>>> Samsung's own application tab is only for the
>>> preload/postload updates which we should use our internal
>>> system (all are free apps).
>>>
>>>
>>> They can use Android Market to update their own apps and 3rd
>>> party apps as of Phonesky, and that offers a more consistent UX.
>>>
>>> Hope this explains better for you to understand our
>>> proposal.
>>>
>>> In the mean time, we do have a bit of issues in Latin
>>> America as we briefly mentioned in our meeting earlier
>>> this month on America Movil.
>>>
>>> America Movil say that they do not want us to service
>>> Samsung Apps any more unless Google agrees to it.
>>>
>>> So, if we both agree on this new model, can we launch
>>> this hybrid Samsung Apps in Latin America for America Movil?
>>>
>>>
>>> Please let me know your thoughts.
>>>
>>> Thank you,
>>>
>>> Boyeon Shin
>>>
>>> *Manager*

>>> *Service Operation Team*
>>> *Media Solution Center
>>> **SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS*
>>>
>>> *Office : [REDACTED] *Mobile: * [REDACTED]
>>> *E-Mail : *Boyeon Shin@samsung.com
>>> <mailto:Boyeon Shin@samsung.com>
>>>
>>> ----- *Original Message* -----
>>>
>>> *Sender* : Eric Chu Eric Chu@google.com>
>>> <mailto:Eric Chu@google.com>
>>>
>>> *Date* : 2011-08-23 01:16 (GMT+09:00)
>>>
>>> *Title* : Re: FW: Re: Meeting notes from meeting with
>>> Eric Chu
>>>
>>>
>>> Hi Boyen,
>>>
>>> Thanks for the proposal. If I understand it correctly,
>>> the Samsung App Store application will merchandise a list
>>> of apps where many of the apps will be deep-linked to
>>> Android Market and some of them will be from Samsung's
>>> backend.
>>>
>>> Assuming that's the proposal, it can certainly work. I do
>>> think it will be somewhat confusing for users especially
>>> if some of these apps ask for payments for example. In
>>> that scenario, users who have already purchased products
>>> from Android Market will be asked again to set up yet
>>> another forms of payment.
>>>
>>> If the problem Samsung is solving is to make sure apps
>>> that are designed to run only in a specific country or
>>> carrier, Android Market already provide the ability to
>>> apps to be targeted only to specific devices.
>>>
>>> Does that address what Samsung is looking to solve with
>>> the hybrid proposal?
>>>
>>> Thanks
>>> Eric
>>>
>>> On 8/17/11 9:10 PM, Boyeon Shin wrote:
>>>>
>>>> HI Eric,
>>>>
>>>> As promised we have reviewed the feedback, during our
>>>> meeting in Mountain View, and investigated possible
>>>> options for Samsung and Google to collaborate in the US.

>>>>

>>>> Overall, Samsung agrees with the proposed architecture
>>>> for Samsung Apps deep-link collaboration with Android
>>>> market while still controlling the
>>>> merchandizing/promotion/curation of applications.
>>>> Allowing Samsung to retain the brand as Samsung Apps in US.
>>>>

>>>> What we propose is a hybrid model leveraging the Android
>>>> Market infrastructure while still meeting the needs of
>>>> Samsung application strategy.
>>>>

>>>> Hence, we envisage the following two components:
>>>>

>>>> 1.A Featured application tab to provide
>>>> merchandizing/promotion of Android market apps most
>>>> suitable for Samsung devices (deep link to Android market)
>>>>

>>>> 2.Samsung's own applications tab (preload/post load
>>>> updates) and local specific applications for each
>>>> country/carriers.
>>>>

>>>> For Samsung launch and carrier purposes these will still
>>>> remain on Samsung Apps
>>>>

>>>> Detailed architecture of this arrangement still needs to
>>>> be discussed, however, we anticipate launching this in
>>>> Q1, 2012.
>>>>

>>>> Would greatly appreciate your thoughts on the above
>>>> proposal and look forward to discussing next steps together.
>>>>

>>>> Kind regards,
>>>>

>>>> Boyeon Shin
>>>>

>>>> *Manager*
>>>>

>>>> *Service Operation Team*
>>>>

>>>> *Media Solution Center
>>>>

>>>> **SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS*
>>>>

>>>> *Office : [REDACTED] Mobile: [REDACTED]
>>>>

>>>> *E-Mail : *Boyeon Shin<Boyeon.Shin@samsung.com

>>>> <<mailto:Boyeon.Shin@samsung.com>>
>>>>

>>>> ----- *Original Message* -----
>>>>

>>>> *Sender* : Eric Chu<Eric.Chu@google.com>
>>>>

>>>> <<mailto:Eric.Chu@google.com>>
>>>>

>>>> *Date* : 2011-08-08 12:47 (GMT+09:00)
>>>>

>>>> *Title* : Re: FW: Re: Meeting notes from meeting with
>>>> Eric Chu
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Thank you again for coming to Google for this meeting.
>>>> Looking forward
>>>> to hear on the possible Samsung/Google collaboration on
>>>> merchandising/store starting with the US.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks
>>>> Eric
>>>>
>>>> On 8/4/11 12:39 AM, Martin Tannerfors wrote:
>>>> > Hi Eric,
>>>> >
>>>> > Thank you for taking the time to meet yesterday
>>>> (Tuesday) with us all, much appreciated.
>>>> >
>>>> > Attached are the meeting minutes from our meeting
>>>> which hopefully correctly reflects the discussion and
>>>> action items. Please feel free to comment if any items
>>>> are unclear or misunderstood by us. Look forward to
>>>> closer collaboration moving forward in both US and globally.
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > Regards,
>>>> > Martin
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Patrick
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Patrick
>>
>>